

Is it Time for GRF Director Stuller to Resign?

Director Erwin Stuller's irrational behavior at the last GRF Board Meeting was an embarrassment to the GRF Board of Directors, an embarrassment to residents of Laguna Woods Village, and an embarrassment to himself. He made a complete fool of himself. His behavior was indefensible.

During an open-meeting comment from Third Director Stanley Feldstein regarding a proposal to restrict resident entry to the second floor of the Administration Building, Feldstein referred to Director Stuller as "Mister Stuller". Feldstein's reference to Stuller was matter-of-fact, innocent and without rancor. Director Stuller reacted with a startling display of instability, interrupting Director Feldstein's right to speak, and ordering him to "address me as judge or your honor but not as mister". And, he called Director Feldstein a

"pompous ass". The irony of this remark was not lost on an audience who saw Director Stuller as the only "pompous ass" in the room.

Stuller is a friend and neighbor living amongst his peers in a retirement community. To order friends and neighbors to address him as "judge" or "your honor" is not only patently absurd, it is pathetic and childish. It is a reflection of a man whose apparent lack of self esteem seems to demand constant reassurance that he is important.

Stuller is a Director of GRF and his fiduciary duty is to act in the best interests of his neighbors, notwithstanding what these neighbors might choose to call him. If Stuller's ego problems are going to blur his vision of what is best for the community then it is time for him to step aside as a Director of GRF.

One More Act of Deception by PCM One More Defeat for Transparency

Residents remember well when a member, through sheer determination and tenacity, shocked the community when he obtained records showing that nine PCM Senior Staffers had charged \$114,000 of residents' money to credit cards issued to them by GRF. Residents were outraged when they saw a list of charges for lavish meals and entertainment by PCM top management, paid for with residents' monthly assessments.

There was no written community policy for credit card use by PCM and surprised and angry residents asked for an investigation. Milt Johns quickly went into damage control mode and directed GRF to write "guidelines" to permit PCM to continue, "legally", their use of resident-sponsored credit cards. The "guidelines" accomplished little, if anything, in curtailing credit card expenditures and business as usual continued for PCM Senior Staffers.

PCM, however, facing an outraged community, agreed, reluctantly, to provide records of all future monthly credit card expenditures to directors, and to residents who submitted written requests. PCM was mightily embarrassed by the bad press they received when indefensible credit card charges at lavish restaurants and questionable entertainment venues were exposed to 325,000 ORegister readers. Since then, PCM has been gunshy about providing directors and

residents with records of PCM Senior Staff charges.

PCM, ever the innovators, have devised a scheme to prevent directors, residents, and readers of the ORegister from seeing Senior Staffers' expenditures for lavish meals and "other" forms of "entertainment". It is a simple scheme: Cancel the credit cards! No credit cards, no record of how residents' money is being spent by PCM. No questions to ask. No answers required.

Don't be deceived into believing that PCM Senior Staffers will no longer spend our money for meals and "entertainment". The ORegister reports that "executive staffers....will be reimbursed for employee expenses.... through their parent company" in accordance with the terms dictated in the infamous Management Agreement which obligates residents to "pay any and all" expenses incurred by PCM. Taking a page out of the Employee Incentive Plan, PCM Senior Staffers can spend our money without telling us how they spent it.

This is just one more brazen and obvious attempt by PCM to remove transparency, and prevent residents from knowing how their money is being spent. As for GRF, many of them are a group of frightened and inept men and women who should be ashamed to look their neighbors in the eye.